IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)
THURSDAY, THE SEVENTEENTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR
PRESENT
THE HON'BLE MRS JUSTICE T.MEENA KUMARI
WRIT PETITION NO : 15225 of 1994

Between:

1 Ganji Narasimhamurthy s/o Modi Naidu
residents of M. Koduru village, Madugula Mandal, Visakhapatnam District.
2 Ganji Geddappanaidu s/o Modi Naidu
residents of M. Koduru village, Madugula Mandal, Visakhapatnam District.
3 Ganji Yedukondalu s/o Kondababu
residents of M. Koduru village, Madugula Mandal, Visakhapatnam District.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1 The Commissioner of Land Revenue, A.P., Hyderabad,
2 The Joint Collector, Visakhapatnam District,. Visakhapatnam.
3 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Narsipatnam Division, Narsipatnam, Visakhapatnam District.
4 The Mandal Revenue Officer, MADUGULA, Visakhapatnam District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ or direction or order under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the Respondent No.1 in rejecting the STAY PETITION vide CLR's Ref.No. BCW5/1045/94, dt. 25--7--1994, as bad, illegal, arbitrary and quite against the Principles of Natural Justice and pass such other Order or Orders.

For the Petitioner:MR.C.DAMODHAR REDDY

For the Respondents: GP FOR REVENUE

The Court Made the Following :

-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-

ORDER:

This Writ Petition has been filed to declare the action of respondent No.1 in rejecting the stay petition filed along with his appeal before him in CLR's Ref. No.BCW5/1045/94 dated 25.7.1994 as illegal, improper, unjust and against the principles of natural justice.

In view of the fact that this writ petition has been filed against the interlocutory order and considering the fact that the writ petitioner filed appeal before the first respondent against the orders of the second respondent, it would suffice if a direction is issued to the first respondent to dispose of the appeal, if not already disposed of, within a period of two months from the date of the receipt of a copy of this order.

With the above observations, this Writ Petition has been disposed of. No costs.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

To
1 The Commissioner of Land Revenue, A.P., Hyderabad,
2 The Joint Collector, Visakhapatnam District,. Visakhapatnam.
3 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Narsipatnam Division, Narsipatnam, Visakhapatnam District.
4 The Mandal Revenue Officer, MADUGULA, Visakhapatnam District.
5.2CCs to GP FOR REVENUE, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad.
(O.U.T.)
6.2CD copies
7.One spare copy.

*Form-NIC-OGS/WP{**ND**}*